



# Aerosol forecasting and assimilation at ECMWF: overview and data requirements

# Angela Benedetti Luke Jones ECMWF



Acknowledgements: Jean-Jacques Morcrette, Carole Peubey, Olaf Stiller, and Richard Engelen



# <u>Outline</u>

- General introduction of the European Global Monitoring for Environment and Security (GMES) initiative
- Brief technical overview of the MACC aerosol analysis/forecasting system
- Near-real time aerosol forecasts and dust warning system
- Recent developments
- Verification (presented by Luke Jones)
- Future developments and open questions

# **GMES Atmosphere Component Service**

- Part of Europe's Global Monitoring for Environment and Security initiative
  - development of operational space-based observation
  - strengthening of complementary in-situ observing systems
  - development and operation of services, based on core integrated assimilation and forecasting
  - three environmental services for Land, Ocean and Atmosphere
- A 32-partner EC project called GEMS (Global and regional Earthsystem Monitoring using Satellite and in-situ data)
  - developed systems for the core GMES atmospheric service
  - May 2005-May 2009, status completed
- A 48-partner EC-funded project called MACC:
  - provides pilot GMES Atmosphere Component Service
  - succeeds earlier projects GEMS and PROMOTE
  - coordinated by ECMWF
  - started in June 2009, scheduled to end October 2011











# <u>MACC – Monitoring Atmospheric</u> <u>Composition and Climate</u>

- Integrates space-based and in-situ observations of atmospheric composition with state-of-the art atmospheric modelling
- Provides monitoring and forecasting services
- Helps Europe to respond to climate change and poor air quality



http://www.gmes-atmosphere.eu

# **MACC** Daily Service Provision

### http://www.gmes-atmosphere.eu



# **MACC Service Provision**

### http://www.gmes-atmosphere.eu





# MACC Users

- Advisory programs (i.e. WMO Sand and Dust Storm Warning Advisory and Assessment System, <u>http://www.bsc.es/sds-was/</u> In the future, Volcanic Ash Advisory Centres)
- Regional air quality modellers for boundary and initial conditions (EPA, European centres, JRC, Serbian Meteorological Service)
- Field campaigns (POLARCAT, HIPPO, upcoming SAMBBA)
- Retrieval groups (University of Leeds, University of Reading)
- Solar irradiance forecast groups for renewable energy and plant ecology applications (Geomodel)
- National Weather Services for UV warnings (DWD)

# The ECMWF aerosol model

12 additional aerosol-related prognostic variables:

- \* 3 bins of sea-salt  $(0.03 0.5 0.9 20 \ \mu m)$
- \* 3 bins of dust (0.03 0.55 0.9 20  $\mu m)$
- \* Black carbon (hydrophilic and -phobic)
- \* Organic carbon (hydrophilic and -phobic)

\* SO<sub>2</sub> -> SO<sub>4</sub>

Sources, horizontal and vertical advection by dynamics, vertical advection by vertical diffusion and convection, dry deposition, sedimentation, wet deposition by large-scale and convective precipitation, hygroscopicity (SS, OM, BC, SU)

\* Forward modelling: Morcrette et al.,2009, JGR

\* Analysis including assimilation of MODIS tau550: Benedetti et al., 2009, JGR

# 4D-var assimilation system for aerosols

- The control variable is formulated in terms of the total aerosol mixing ratio
- Background error statistics have been computed using the NMC method
- Assimilated observations: MODIS Aerosol Optical Depths (AODs) at 550 nm over land and ocean. Observation errors are prescribed as a percentage of the observed optical depth value (now changed to fixed values as a result of investigation into observations bias using the variational approach).
- Validation datasets: optical depths from the AErosol Robotic NETwork (AERONET) and lidar backscattering from CALIPSO

# Near-real time forecasts of atmospheric aerosol



The same system developed for the multi-year reanalysis is used for the near-real time forecasts.

The aerosol forecasts with assimilation of MODIS data have been running daily since **July 2008**.

• Global forecasts at ~125 km Resolution will increase to 80 km in the near future.

• Forecasts are run one day behind actual date. The effective forecast length is 3 days.

• Soon, near-real time configuration will become real time.

### Natural aerosols



# **Dust warning system**

#### Huge sandstorm covers Beijing, turns sky orange

storms.

#### Associated Press

#### b Buzzup! 76 votes 🖂 Send 👻 🚍 Share 👻 📇 Print



Reuters – Tourists wearing face masks stand amid a sandstorm on Tiananmen Square in Beijing March 20, 2010. REUTERS/Grace...



BEIJING – Tons of sand turned Beijing's sky orange as the strongest sandstorm this year hit northern China, a gritty reminder that the country's expanding deserts have led to a sharp increase in the

By CARA ANNA, Associated Press Writer - Sat Mar 20, 12:38 pm ET

The sky glowed Saturday and a thin dusting of sand covered Beijing, causing workers and tourists to muffle their faces in vast Tiananmen Square. The city's weather bureau gave air quality a rare hazardous ranking.

Air quality is "very bad for the health," China's national weather bureau warned. It said people should cover their mouths when outside and keep doors and windows closed.

China's expanding deserts now cover one-third of the country because of overgrazing, deforestation, urban sprawl and drought. The shifting

sands have led to a sharp increase in sandstorms — the grit from which can travel as far as the western United States.

The Chinese Academy of Sciences has estimated that the number of sandstorms has jumped six-fold in the past 50 years to two dozen a year.



Beijing's Tiananmen Square was shrouded in orange dust



#### Index = absolute( (forecast AOD - mean AOD)/(std. dev. AOD)) \* (forecast AOD)

Standard deviation and mean AOD are calculated for every month of the years 2008 and 2009 which are used as reference.

### **Dust Index**

### **Recent developments**

- Model improvements contributed greatly to improving the analysis
- Modifications in observation errors also contributed to a better analysis. In general, specification of observation errors proved to be FUNDAMENTAL.
- Aerosol assimilation system has proven flexible in regards to the inclusion of AOD observations at 550nm from different sensors (AATSR, SEVIRI, ground-based AERONET observations).
- Assimilation of AOD from sensors other than MODIS has emphasized the need for a bias correction. This has been recently implemented using the same approach which is adopted for radiance and ozone data (variational bias estimation). Work on bias correction has shown weaknesses in the error assumptions both on background and observations.
- **Dual control variable** is being put in place to benefit from assimilation of fine-mode AOD and other size-related aerosol observations
- Lidar assimilation is being developed in the context of the project QuARL (1D-Var to be extended to full 4D-Var system in the next years.

# Assimilation of SEVIRI optical depths:

### Importance of the observation errors and quality control

#### observation errors fixed at 30% (red) of the AOD vs errors provided with retrieved AOD (black)



 $\rightarrow$  more desirable first-guess and analysis departure distributions with the retrieval errors: smaller bias and more Gaussian shape compared to using the errors of 30 %.

 $\rightarrow$  the number of assimilated data in the retrieval error case is half that of the 30% error case but of better quality

Work by: Carole Peubey

## **1D-Var experiments with CALIPSO data**





Optical properties derived using Mie theory for the 11 aerosol species

- CALIPSO backscatter data at 532 nm pre-processed with cloud screening using level 2, 5 km cloud top height product (no data used below highest cloud top)
- Observed lidar backscatter averaged to model grid box
- Observation error set to 25% of observation value (acceptable for feasibility study)
- First guess of aerosol backscatter of good enough quality to allow assimilation

Work by: Olaf Stiller, Jean-Jacques Morcrette, and Marta Janiskova'

## Data requirements for assimilation/monitoring

General:

- Near-real time availability (3-5 hours), easy access and data format
- Level 2 products have to come with error specification at a pixel level
- Good cloud screening
- Direct observations with good calibration

Short term:

- Invest on available sensors/algorithms (i.e. SEVIRI and AATSR) and make data operationally available
- Push for aerosol data from ongoing or planned non- aerosol missions (VIIRS on NPP, Sentinel-3, GCOM-C, ...)
- Liaise with aerosol forecasting centres as for standard meteorological parameters

Long term:

- Plan future mission keeping also aerosol forecasting applications in mind (i.e. level 1 lidar data from Earthcare in NRT)
- Plan aerosol-missions that have an operational character

# **Global comparisons with AERONET (May 2003)**

FC-OBS Bias. Model AOT at 550nm against L2.0 Aeronet AOT at 500nm. Meaned over 41 sites globally. Period=1-31 May 2003. FC start hrs=00,12Z.



15 16 17 MAY 2003

14

18 19 20 21 22 23

24

29

11 12 13

10

0.05

0



Analysis (red) shows lower bias and lower RMS wrt AERONET optical depths than free-running model (dark yellow)

Average bias (over 41 stations): 0.012 (ASSIM) vs -0.036 (FCST)

<u>RMS</u>: 0.117 (ASSIM) vs 0.164 (FCST)

Analysis

Free-running forecast

# Forecast range verification (24h means, Feb 2010)



0.2

0.1

0.

FC-OBS Bias. Model (f93i) AOT at 550nm against L1.5 Aeronet AOT at 500nm.



Sample size. Model (f93i) AOT at 550nm against L1.5 Aeronet AOT at 500nm. Meaned over 64 sites globally. Period=1-28 Feb 2010. FC start hrs=0Z.

- Bias increases with forecast range
- Less noticeable on the RMS



# **AERONET site comparisons (February 2010)**



•Dust-dominated site (Solar Village) show good agreement between the analysis and AERONET despite the lack of MODIS data over this type of sites

# Aerosol & cloud profile validation with A-Train data



- General good agreement in the vertical but no major differences with or without assimilation
- For some convective situations, too much aerosol is present in the upper troposphere in the model and analysis (likely to depend on interaction between convection/vertical diffusion and aerosol transport)
- Assimilation of optical depth obs do not constrain the vertical profile (only operate a total aerosol mass adjustment)



### **Simulated Aerosol Backscatter**

• Allows direct numerical comparison between CALIPSO & model





CALIPSO Aerosol Backscatter Coefficient (sr<sup>-1</sup>km<sup>-1</sup>) at 532 nm along 10150 km of A-Train orbit between 20:31:36 & 20:56:17 16/04/08 UT No aerosol 60 >1 0.8 100 0.5 0.2 0.08 0.05 0.02 0.008 200 300 400 0.005 0.002 600 0.0008 0.0005 1000 0.0002 20:40 20:55 20:50 20:45 20:35 Lon 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 72 74 75 76 73 77 Lat \_\_\_\_\_ 20 32 36 40 44 48 -34 -28 16 24 28 -22 12 -16 Model (fb2u) Simulated Total Attenuated Backscatter (sr<sup>1</sup>km<sup>-1</sup>) at 532 nm along 10149 km of A-Train orbit between 20:31:19 & 20:56:00 16/04/08 UT >1 60 0.8 100 0.5 0.2 0.08 0.05 200 0.02 0.008 300 400 0.005 0.002 600 0.0008 0.0005 0.0002 1000 20:55 20:50 20:45 20:40 20:35

Lon 65 66 67 68 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 71 74 75 70 72 73 76 77 69 Lat \_\_\_\_\_ -10 -6 -2 2 4 6 8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 40 44 48 -34 -28 -22 -16

## **Data requirements for verification**

General:

- Good data quality
- Easy access and data format
- NRT access for immediate evaluation (1 day)

Short term:

- Collaborate with aerosol forecasting centres in defining data requirements (data providers)
- Develop common verification measures (forecasting centres)
- Use existing expertise from the operational and research communities

Long term:

- Invest in and promote AERONET-type activities and ground-based lidar networks
- Development of new products that can be used for validation (R&D community)

# **Summary and future plans**

- The assimilation of MODIS aerosol optical depths has proven successful in providing initalisation for aerosol forecasts with the GEMS/MACC ECMWF model. Thanks to NASA/NESDIS for providing MODIS data in real time (typical latency is 1-2 hours).
- Verification activities have benefitted from provision of near real-time AERONET data (typical data latency is 1 day, thanks to NASA/GSFC) and are now expanding to use other observations (i.e. CALIPSO data).
- Inclusion of Aerosol Optical Depth data from different passive sensors (SEVIRI, AATSR) is promising and will provide a way forward in developing and maintaining the aerosol forecasting services
- A new, more complete aerosol model is being implemented and will be tested in assimilation. Model aerosols will eventually interact with radiation and cloud microphysics (at the moment they are assumed to be passive tracers)
- Work will continue on the assimilation of aerosol retrievals/observations from passive and active sensors (aerosol radiances, lidar backscattering)