Background animation from GMAO: GEOS-FP simulated CO June 2023

Peter Colarco<sup>1</sup> With contributions from: Allison Collow<sup>2</sup>, Patricia Castellanos<sup>2</sup>, Virginie Bouchard<sup>2</sup>, Anton Darmenov<sup>2</sup>, Amal El Akkraouri<sup>2</sup>, Ravi Govindaraju<sup>2</sup>, Sampa Das<sup>1</sup>, Hushing Bian<sup>1</sup>, Parker Case<sup>1</sup>, Qing Liang<sup>1</sup>, Ed Nowottnick<sup>3</sup>, and Arlindo da Silva<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamics Laboratory, <sup>2</sup>Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, <sup>3</sup>Mesoscale Processes Laboratory



### Update on the NASA GEOS modeling activities





National Aeronautics and Space Administration



- Update on GOCART2G and model products
- Recent and planned field campaign support
- Science snapshots
- Updates on data assimilation and fire emissions
- Outlook





# **GOCART2G Configuration**

- with distinct optical properties
- Increase OA:OC ratio in line with recent airborne measurements (e.g., Atom)
- Inclusion of an ACHEM-driven SOA scheme for anthropogenic and biomass burning sources
- Inclusion of a HEMCO/MEGAN-driven biogenic SOA scheme
- Introduction of "point wise" source emissions for pyroCb inputs
- Update anthropogenic emissions to downscaled-CEDS emission inventory and input oxidant fields to MERRA-2 GMI (valid range of both is 1980 - 2019; padding outside years with endpoints)





Collow, A. B., Colarco, P. R., da Silva, A. M., Buchard, V., Bian, H., Chin, M., Das, S., Govidaraju, R., Kim, D., and Aquila, V.: Benchmarking GOCART-2G in the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS), Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2023-129, in review, 2023.

Separation of organic aerosol into "white" (anthropogenic) and "brown" (biomass burning) components



# **GOCART2G Validation**





Collow, A. B., Colarco, P. R., da Silva, A. M., Buchard, V., Bian, H., Chin, M., Das, S., Govidaraju, R., Kim, D., and Aquila, V.: Benchmarking GOCART-2G in the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS), Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2023-129, in review, 2023.



## **GOCART2G Validation**





Collow, A. B., Colarco, P. R., da Silva, A. M., Buchard, V., Bian, H., Chin, M., Das, S., Govidaraju, R., Kim, D., and Aquila, V.: Benchmarking GOCART-2G in the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS), Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2023-129, in review, 2023.



- GEOS Near-Real Time System
- Currently: Version 5.29.5
- ~12 km horizontal, 72 levels to ~80 km
- Legacy GOCART->transition to GOCART2G next year
- Aerosols are inline and radiatively interactive with meteorology
- Assimilation MODIS NNR and AERONET AOD at 550 nm
- 4x daily forecasts  $\bullet$
- Inputs to ICAP MME







https://fluid.nccs.nasa.gov/weather/



# **GMAO Reanalysis Products**



RRA-21C, will use GOCART2G, Hybrid 4D EnVar All Sky DA 2000 - present, 25 km resolution (MERRA-2 was 50 km) Product availability in 2024



Courtesy: Amal EL Akkraouri



# Field Campaigns Supported - CPEX-CV





Courtesy: Ed Nowottnick





National Aeronautics and Space Administration

# Field Campaigns Supported - ACCLIP





Courtesy: Qing Liang





## Field Campaigns Supported - NASA ARCSIX

#### **Ginoux Topographic Source Function**



#### **Flexpart Soil Source Function**











### Field Campaigns Supported - NASA ARCSIX

#### **Ginoux Based Emissions**



#### **Flexpart Based Emissions**









## **Improvements to Biomass Burning Aerosol**



#### NASA ORACLES airborne data shows vertical variability in biomass burning aerosol distribution and absorption not reproduced in GEOS



### We hypothesize a missing loss process (e.g., photochemical destruction of organics)-> Including an ad hoc loss process and adjusting optical properties improves fidelity



### Improvements to Biomass Burning Aerosol **September 2016 Monthly Mean**

Model

OMI

Model - OMI

### **GEOS AI calculation:**

1. GEOS aerosol profiles and assumed optical properties 2. OMI observation geometry and retrieved surface reflectance

Al is sensitive to aerosol height, amount, and spectral absorption

**Updated model reduces the** high bias in simulated Al over southern Africa





Das, S., Colarco, P., Bian, H., and Gasso, S.: Improved Simulations of Biomass Burning Aerosol Optical Properties and Lifetimes in the NASA GEOS Model during the ORACLES-I Campaign, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1311, 2023.











## **Challenges in Simulating Smoke Episodes**

# Early June 2023 Canadian smoke episode impact air quality in US NE

Forecasting is a challenge

- Meteorology
- Fire location
- Emission magnitude
- Vertical placement of emissions

GEOS near-real time system ("GEOS-FP") runs aerosol, CO and meteorological forecasts 4x daily at global 12 km resolution and assimilates meteorology and aerosol AOD

Research version shown here run at 25 km resolution with meteorology from GEOS-FP and assimilates aerosol AOD

Biomass burning emissions derived from near-real time MODIS fire radiative power products (QFED)





0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 1.8 2.1 2.4 2.7 Aerosol Optical Depth





# What do you know about emissions?

### Valid: June 7, 2023

Replayed (Day-Of Emissions)

Replay to assimilated meteorology



**Aerosol Optical Depth** 

#### Forecast (Day-Of Emissions)

20230607\_12z Aerosol Optical Depth (c360F\_fires2023\_f10day) 50°N 45°N 40°N 1.2 1.5 1.8 0.6 0.9 2.1

Aerosol Optical Depth





Aerosol Optical Depth



# What do you know about emissions?

### Valid: June 7, 2023

20230607\_12z Aerosol Optical Depth (c360R\_fires2023\_spinup)

Replayed (Day-Of Emissions)





· Apropol Ontical 2.4 Aerosol Optical Depth

2.7

#### Forecast (Day-Of Emissions)



Aerosol Optical Depth







National Aeronautics and Space Administration

# Site Comparison, June 5, 2023 Init









### National Aeronautics and Space This istration Residual Uncertainty Due to Vertical Drafila





Courtesy: Allie Collow



# Hunga Tonga Example

January 2022 eruption of Hunga Tonga-Hunga Ha'apei injected modest amount of SO2 (0.4 Tg) into stratosphere, but enormous amount of water (150 Tg, about 10% of background)

Water seriously perturbed the chemistry, causing <u>very</u> rapid conversion of SO2 to sulfate aerosol

Simulations with bin microphysics used to understand impact of eruption:

Simulation with just SO2 results in too small particles at too high altitude

Simulation that includes water impact on chemistry produces better size distribution

Simulation that accounts for chemical <u>and</u> radiative impact has best height, but wrong size distribution—> too much nucleation













![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

# **NNR Implemented on VIIRS-SNPP**

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

Courtesy: Patricia Castellanos, Virginie Buchard

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

# **Satellite Visible Angstrom Exponent**

- Spectral AOD can help distinguish different aerosol types
- Large aerosol (dust, sea salt) have low spectral AOD variability (low AE), while fine aerosol (smoke, sulfate) have high variability

![](_page_21_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Standard AOD retrievals depend on a limited number of assumed aerosol optical models
- As the NNR is based on simulated aerosol types, more variability in the aerosol optical model is possible

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **NNR Algorithm Modified to Predict Visible Angstrom Exponent**

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

### NNR Algorithm Modified to Predict Visible Angstrom Exponent

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### VIIRS Land Surface

VIIRS Ocean

![](_page_23_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Validation: Comparison to Maritime Aerosol Network

#### Handheld sun photometers are deployed during research cruises

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### MAN Cruise Tracks

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

Courtesy: Patricia Castellanos, Virginie Buchard

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **Comparison to 4STAR Airborne Observations of AE**

#### **Spectrometer for Sky-Scanning, Sun-Tracking Atmospheric Research (4STAR)**

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Airborne sun-sky spectrophotometer measuring direct beam transmittance
- Measures column above aircraft
  - Extensive AOD will be biased, but intensive AE is a more robust comparison
- MODIS underpasses where the aircraft was below 1000 m were considered:
  - KORUS-AQ

DARD

TCAP

- NAAMES lacksquare
- SEAC4RS ullet

![](_page_25_Figure_12.jpeg)

As 4STAR measures the partial column, errors are to be expected in comparisons to total column observations

Here we look at the contextual bias:

The NNR AE predictions has a similar error PDF as AERONET

![](_page_25_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_22.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_23.jpeg)

DDARD

### Assimilation of GEO 550 nm AOD Over Ocean

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **QFED: Transitioning from MODIS to VIIRS**

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

The Quick Fire Emissions Dataset (QFED) is the principal GMAO product used in the flagship GEOS systems and GMAO reanalyses.

### **Objectives**

- ullet

![](_page_27_Picture_7.jpeg)

Integrate VIIRS NPP and VIIRS JPSS fire data into the Quick Fire Emissions Dataset (QFED; GMAO).

Create fire emissions for R21C and the flagship configurations of GEOS with minimal discontinuity in aerosols and meteorology at the point of transition from MODIS to VIIRS.

![](_page_27_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_13.jpeg)

## **QFED: Transitioning from MODIS to VIIRS**

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

Comparison of VIIRS/NPP and VIIRS/JPSS-1 fire emissions with MODIS. The depicted data is proportional to the daily global emissions. The target emissions are QFED(MODIS C6).

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

Courtesy: Anton Darmenov

### Progress

- Redesigned and modernized the QFED code to ease the integration of new fire observations, facilitate science innovation and speed up research to operations.
- Improved the workflow to efficiently ullethandle the growth of data that needs to be processed.
- Generated and evaluated VIIRS fire emissions for the period 2021-2022.

![](_page_28_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

- GOCART2G to go live in GEOS-FP system next year
- MERRA-21C getting going now, products next year
- Work on aerosol microphysics and aerosol-chemistry coupling continuing under Chemistry-Climate Modeling activities; transitions of information content to NRT systems ongoing
- Assimilation of Angstrom exponent will be part of ensemble JEDI system; sometime in next year or so

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)