

Regional air quality forecast verification at the Met Office

Paul Agnew

Oxford 30th September

© Crown copyright Met Office



Verification: Some questions to begin with....

- What is your modelling system trying to do?
 - Forecast hourly values, evaluate annual means, exhibit correct spatial variations....etc...?
- What constitutes a good forecast/modelling outcome?
 - E.g. predict exceedance of an air quality threshold
- How reliable are the measured values?
 - Quality of measurements, representivity
- How to achieve like-for-like comparison?



- AQUM is an off-line, regional atmospheric composition model
- Uses UKCA gas phase chemistry
- Currently using CLASSIC aerosol scheme (will migrate to UKCA-MODE)
- Run once per day
- Hourly forecasts to T+48
- MACC chem/aer LBCs
- Daily verification



© Crown Copyright 2010. Source: Met Office





Air Quality Measurements: PM

- Good news:
 - A substantial surface network of hourly measurements across much of Europe
 - Many measurements available in 'near-real-time' (thanks to GEMS)
 - Site locations classified (rural, urban b/g, kerbside etc.)
- Not so good news.....
 - NRT data not quality controlled
 - Low precision
 - PM not speciated





Air Quality Metrics: address two aspects of model 'skill'

- Verification of forecasts c.f. observations
 - (N)RMS error, bias and correlation take into account all forecasts and observations, across the range of values
 - Sensitive to model resolution: 'smoother' (low-res) models can have better scores than hi-res models overall
 - Susceptible to 'double penalty' problem
 - but may under-forecast exceedance events
- Skill scores focussed on threshold exceedance events



Traditional measures

• Normalised mean bias

$$B_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \frac{(f_i - o_i)}{o_i}$$

Normalised rmse

 Asymmetry problem: limited to -1 for underprediction; unlimited for over prediction

$$E_n = \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left(\frac{f_i - o_i}{o_i}\right)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$



Metrics : employed for operational system at MetO

Employ measures which are fair to both under/over prediction

• Modified mean bias

 $B'_{n} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i} \left(\frac{f_{i} - o_{i}}{f_{i} + o_{i}} \right)$

$$E_f = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i} \left| \frac{f_i - o_i}{f_i + o_i} \right|$$

Fractional gross error

 Correlation used to indicate match between obs/forecast patterns $R = \frac{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (f_i - \overline{f})(o_i - \overline{o})}{\sigma_f \sigma_o}$



Met Office operational system: site time series





Met Office operational system: summary statistics



© Crown copyright Me



Summary statistics: 'soccer' plots



© Crown copyright Met Office



Soccer plots: PM



© Crown copyright Met Office



Exceedance forecasting: AQ 'Objectives'

- SO2
 - 1hr mean of $350 \mu g/m3$ not exceeded more than 24 times per year
 - 24hr mean of 125μ g/m3 not more than 3 times/yr
- NO2
 - 1hr mean of 200 $\mu\text{g/m3}$ not exceeded more than 18 times per year
- PM10
 - 24hr mean of $50 \mu g/m3$ not exceeded more than 35 times per year
- Ozone
 - 24hr max of 8hr running mean not to exceed $100 \mu g/m3$ more than 10 times per year



 Requirement: a single statistic indicating the relative skill of each model in forecasting threshold exceedences

		Events	Observed
		Yes	No
Events	Yes	а	b
Forecast	No	С	d

- Basis: 2x2 contingency table
 - a Hit
 - b False alarm
 - c Miss
 - d Correct rejection
 - n=a+b+c+d total no. events



Properties of a useful skill score:

- A range of indicators traditionally developed for meteorological forecasts:
 - Proportion Correct, Heidke Skill Score, Gilbert SS, Peirce (Kuipers) SS etc.
- Require a Skill Score which is:
 - Simple to calculate and interpret
 - Not sensitive to the thresholds chosen
 - Not sensitive to the 'base rate'
 - Robust not easily 'hedged'
 - Can be tested for significance if required
- The 'Odds Ratio' meets these requirements



Odds ratio skill score

- Odds ratio: In terms of contingency table, OR=ad/bc
- A skill score can be derived by a simple transformation:
 - ORSS=(OR-1)/(OR+1)
 - This mapping produces a skill score in the range -1 to +1
- When ORSS=-1 forecasts and observations are independent
- Providing number of forecasts is statistically significant, ORSS approaching +1 indicates a skillful forecast
- A test exists for the statistical significance

Exceedance forecast skill



Verification statistics - Mozilla Firefox	ke Teel	Holp								
<u>-lie Edit v</u> iew Hi <u>s</u> tory <u>B</u> ookmar	KS <u>1</u> 00IS	Help								
🖣 🕨 🍸 🐼 🕼 🎏 Ktp://www-nwp/~apdg/AirQuality/ObsDailyStats.html							ি বিবের্টি বিদ্যালয় বিদ্যালয			
🗟 Most Visited ▼ 🛛 💿 Red Hat, Inc. 🗌	🖻 Red Ha	at Network	🖻 Support 🔻 🖻	∋Shop▼ 🗁 Prod	ducts 🔻 🖻 Training 🔻					
🖻 People Search 🛛 🗙 💿 [Met-job	s] PD	× 🤮 AQI	JM Daily V 🗙	o yourself	× Φ Oxford Ph	nysic 🗙 🕻 Capita Bu	sines ×	🔤 Login	🗙 <i> </i> Verification sta	
				Verifi	ication stat	istics				
<i>Modified:</i> 23 March 2010 by Lucy For statistics for just the previous For statistics for the period 23/06	Davis ; week, s /2009-22	ee <u>here.</u> /03/2010, s	e <u>here.</u>							
Overall Pollution Index:					[[[[]	
[]	NetCen	With AEA	Without AEA	Coarse AQ run	airq-d - Without AEA	airq-d - Coarse AQ run	AQUM**	Persisted Obs 24hr	Persisted Obs 48hr	
Number of correct predictions:	2374	2129	2519	599	2542	1794	1961	2994	2655	
Number of under predictions:	353	389	1021	39	835	251	177	735	880	
Number of over predictions:	1789	2046	1024	3926	1187	2519	2095	722	879	
Paraantaga of approat pradictions	52.6	46.6	55.2	13.1	55.7	39.3	46.3	67.3	60.1	
rereentage of correct predictions.	52.0	10.0	1							
Percentage of under predictions:	7.8	8.5	22.4	0.9	18.3	5.5	4.2	16.5	19.9	
Percentage of under predictions: Percentage of over predictions:	7.8 39.6	8.5 44.8	22.4 22.4	0.9 86.0	18.3 26.0	5.5 55.2	4.2 49.5	16.5 16.2	19.9 19.9	
Percentage of correct predictions: Percentage of over predictions: Bias:	7.8 39.6 0.3470	8.5 44.8 0.4191	22.4 22.4 0.0177	0.9 86.0 1.4380	18.3 26.0 0.0819	5.5 55.2 0.6122	4.2 49.5 0.5200	16.5 16.2 -0.0036	19.9 19.9 0.0000	
Percentage of over predictions: Percentage of over predictions: Bias: RMSE:	7.8 39.6 0.3470 0.7898	8.5 44.8 0.4191 0.8781	22.4 22.4 0.0177 0.7752	0.9 86.0 1.4380 1.7669	18.3 26.0 0.0819 0.7519	5.5 55.2 0.6122 1.0092	4.2 49.5 0.5200 0.8828	16.5 16.2 -0.0036 0.6416	19.9 19.9 0.0000 0.7514	
Percentage of correct predictions: Percentage of over predictions: Percentage of over predictions: Bias: <u>RMSE</u> : <u>ORSS*</u> (exceedance index= 4):	7.8 39.6 0.3470 0.7898 0.7116	8.5 44.8 0.4191 0.8781 0.7253	22.4 22.4 0.0177 0.7752 0.7668	0.9 86.0 1.4380 1.7669 0.7218	18.3 26.0 0.0819 0.7519 0.5405	5.5 55.2 0.6122 1.0092 0.5046	4.2 49.5 0.5200 0.8828 0.8061	16.5 16.2 -0.0036 0.6416 0.8503	19.9 19.9 0.0000 0.7514 0.5597	
Percentage of correct predictions: Percentage of over predictions: Percentage of over predictions: Bias: <u>RMSE:</u> <u>ORSS*</u> (exceedance index= 4): <u>Hit rate*</u> (index= 4):	39.6 0.3470 0.7898 0.7116 0.3517	8.5 44.8 0.4191 0.8781 0.7253 0.4828	22.4 22.4 0.0177 0.7752 0.7668 0.3172	0.9 86.0 1.4380 1.7669 0.7218 0.9241	18.3 26.0 0.0819 0.7519 0.5405 0.1552	5.5 55.2 0.6122 1.0092 0.5046 0.4897	4.2 49.5 0.5200 0.8828 0.8061 0.6275	16.5 16.2 -0.0036 0.6416 0.8503 0.3382	19.9 19.9 0.0000 0.7514 0.5597 0.1730	

* For contingency tables used to generate this statistic can be found here

1

** Until 26/04/2010: based on forecast on the previous day. (Except 20100303,20100304,20100305,20100329,20100330 which are based on the forecast for the present day). From 27/04/2010: based on forecast for the present day.

😻 Verification statistics - Mozi

14:52

2010-09-28

5

3 4

8 9 10

2

6 7

O3 Pollution Index:

😹 Done

© Crown copyright



Key elements of air quality forecast verification

- Metrics which evaluate standard field stats AND exceedance skill
- Stratify evaluations according to site type
- Baseline comparison provided by persistence forecast
- Value of innovative visualisation (Taylor, Soccer plots etc....)
- PM verification should ideally examine speciated components (to be done....)