UPDATE ON THE NASA GEOS-5 AEROSOL FORECASTING SYSTEM



Peter Colarco¹, Arlindo da Silva², Anton Darmenov², Virginie Buchard², Cynthia Randles¹, Ed Nowottnick³, Ravi Govindaraju²

> ¹NASA GSFC, Atmospheric Chemistry and Dynamics Branch ²NASA GSFC, Global Modeling and Assimilation Office ³University of Maryland, Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences

GEOS-5 Structure



NASA GMAO is the model custodian NASA ACDB collaborates with GOCART, GMI components NASA ACDB/CU/NCAR collaborates with CARMA components NOAA collaborates with ADAS

Aerosol Component



- I. Improved NRT biomass burning emissions
- 2. Model evaluation
- 3. Aerosol assimilation
- 4. Satellite simulator

I. Improved NRT biomass burning emissions

- 2. Model evaluation
- 3. Aerosol assimilation
- 4. Satellite simulator

Quick Fire Emission Dataset (QFED)

- NRT estimates of biomass burning based on MODIS products
- Earlier version calibrated against GFEDv2 inventory using fire detections (hot spots) or fire
 radiative power (FRP)
- Newer version uses FRP and determines separate emissions per biome (possibly multiple biomes per grid box):

$$E_{\text{species}}(x,y,t) = \sum_{\text{biome}} C_{\text{biome,species}} \cdot FRP(x,y,t,biome)$$

- Biomes from high resolution (~1 km) data set tropical forest, extratropical forest, savanna, grasslands
- Tuning is relative to older GFEDv2 calibrated emissions via suite of model runs, where AOT is decomposed by component and compared to MODIS AOT:

$$\tau_{obs} = \alpha_{BB}\tau_{BB} + \tau_{dust} + \tau_{seasalt} + \tau_{anthro} + \tau_{etc} + \dots$$

where

 $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{BB}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{BB} = C_{TF}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{TF} + C_{XF}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{XF} + C_{S}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{S} + C_{G}\boldsymbol{\tau}_{G}$

- Separate tuning for Aqua and Terra (redundancy)
- Final product is merged sensor 0.25° daily emissions of:

BC, OC, SO₂, CO, CO₂, PM_{2.5}



Biome	С
Tropical Forest	2.5
×Tropical Forest	4.5
Savanna	1.8
Grassland	1.8
T I I I I OFFOI	

Tuning relative to QFED I

QFED Tuning





- QFEDv1 (and predecessor GFED) emissions led to anemic biomass burning AOT in model
- Simulations w/ and w/out specific biome biomass burning emissions used to tune QFED2
- Results are looked at systematically over ~ 40 regions
- Approach has greatly improved fidelity in regions where biomass burning is dominant over dust

I. Improved NRT biomass burning emissions

2. Model evaluation

- 3. Aerosol assimilation
- 4. Satellite simulator

SO2 Comparisons to Aircraft





Aircraft data from the URF Cessna 402B provided by Jeff Stehr et al. (UMCP)

Evolution of Saharan Dust Event



Ed Nowottnick, submitted to ACPD

Impact of Model Resolution



Date

I. Global Dust Emissions



- Computational costs dictate that most model tuning is done at coarse spatial resolution
- Operational systems run at higher spatial resolution

Baseline Replay Simulation



Model has

- High bias in African dust
- High bias in Southern Ocean
- High bias in autumn NH AOT; somewhat low bias in spring
- Low bias in Asian anthropogenic

- Global $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.625^{\circ}$ replay from MERRA analyses
- QFED2 biomass burning emissions
- EDGAR4.1 SO₂ anthropogenic emissions
- Other inventory emissions from AeroCom

I. Improved NRT biomass burning emissions

2. Model evaluation

3. Aerosol assimilation

4. Satellite simulator

GEOS-5 Structure



Quality Control MODIS AOT

- MODIS AOT product has errors and biases
- A new AOT is developed by training a neural net based retrieval (NNR)
- Predictors are (at MODIS L2 retrieval points):
 - MODISTOA radiances
 - Viewing geometry
 - Glint angle (ocean)
 - Cloud fraction
 - Wind speed (ocean)
 - Surface albedo (land, climatological)
- Target is historical co-located AERONET record of AOT (log-transformed to normalize statistics)
- Product is 8 x day, 0.25° 550 nm AOT (Aqua/Terra, Land/Ocean)

Right: Joint PDF comparison of original MODIS AOT to co-located AERONET (top) and result of NNR (bottom). Results for MODIS Terra over ocean; similar comparisons made for other.



Comparison of NNR to MYD04



Assimilation Methodology

- GEOS-5 Aerosol Assimilation System (GAAS) assimilates AOT from MODIS (land/ocean,Terra/Aqua)
 - Other sensors (e.g., MISR for hindcast) in development
- Simultaneous estimates of background bias (Dee and da Silva, 1998)
- Adaptive statistical quality (Dee et al., 1999)
 - State dependent, adapts to error of the day
 - Background and buddy check based on logtransformed AOD
- Error covariance models (Dee and da Silva, 1999)
 - Innovation based
 - Maximum likelihood
- Lagrangian displacement ensemble technique captures, e.g., plume misplacements



GEOS-5 Comparisons to MODIS Baseline Assimilation

June 2008 MODIS Aqua

GEOS-5

MODIS -Model





GEOS-5 Comparisons to AERONET

Bonanza Creek, AK

Capo Verde, Sal Island

La Parguera, Puerto Rico



AERONET Baseline Assimilation

- I. Improved NRT biomass burning emissions
- 2. Model evaluation
- 3. Aerosol assimilation

4. Satellite simulator

VLIDORT Simulations

- GEOS-5 simulates aerosol mass
- Optical properties (τ , ϖ ₀, P(Θ)) from pre-computed size/composition/humidity dependent LUT
- VLIDORT vector radiative transfer code (Rob Spurr) takes input profile of model optical properties at OMI locations/view geometry
- Spectral TOA radiances computed for direct comparison to OMI
 - Surface albedo (Lambertian) from TOMS UV climatology
 - No clouds in calculation (for now)
 - Aerosol Index (AI) computed from 354 nm and 388 nm radiances



OMIAI and AAOD Comparisons

Aerosol Index







Future Directions

- Completion of high resolution baseline run through 2010
- Evaluation of baseline run
- Replay with assimilation of aerosols; evaluation
- GMAO: Plan is to run assimilation operationally June 1
- How does this contribute to ICAP?