POLAR MULTI-SENSOR AEROSOL PROPERTIES FROM METOP A & B

Michael Grzegorski, <u>Rosemary Munro</u>, Gabriele Poli, Andriy Holdak and Ruediger Lang



- PMAp: Polar Multi-sensor Aerosol product developed at EUMETSAT
  - > product overview
  - > operational retrieval over ocean
- Validation and monitoring of the PMAp product
  - Continuous comparison of PMAp to AERONET AOD
- From the ocean retrieval to the land retrieval
  - What will be changed for the land retrieval?
  - First (preliminary) results for AOD over land



 PMAp: Polar Multi-sensor Aerosol product

 AOD over ocean, aerosol type classification (volcanic ash)
 Delivered as a GOME product (PMD resolution)
 Pre-operational since Q2/2014
 Fully operational product quality status since October 14<sup>th</sup> 2014
 Distributed by EUMETCast in netcdf4

• Q1-2/2015: Implementation of PMAp Release 2 including retrieval over land on the core ground segment (expected)



### **Current Capabilities - EUMETSAT Polar System**





## **METOP** instrument level-1 data used by PMAp

Instru ment		Spatial resolution	Spectral range	comments
GOME	Main science channel	80 x 40 km	240nm -800nm, res. 0.25-0.5nm	AAI, low spatial resolution, not used
	Polarization Monitoring Device	10 x 40 km Metop-B 5 x 40 km Metop-A	311nm-803nm, 15 bands	AOD, aerosol type, AAI
AVHRR	-	1.08 x 1.08 km	580nm-12500nm, 5 bands	Clouds, scene heterogeneity, dust/ash
IASI	-	12km (circular)	3700–15500nm, resolution 0.5 cm <sup>-1</sup>	desert dust, volcanic ash aerosol heights
Auxiliary data	ECMWF wind speed (forecasting)	Temporal interpolation necessary	-	Required for retrievals over ocean
	surface albedo, Surface elevation	-	-	Required for retrievals over land

Target spatial resolution



## **The GOME-2 instrument on Metop**

Measuring atmospheric composition



### GOME-2:

- series of 3 instruments on Metop (Metop A launched in 10/2006)
- sun-synchronous orbit, 09:30
- 412 orbits (29 days) repeat cycle
- Global coverage 1.5 days
- 240 nm to 800 nm
- 0.25 to 0.5 nm spectral resolution (FWHM)
- 4 channels with 4098 energy measurements of polarisation corrected radiances (40 x 80 km<sup>2</sup>)
- 2 channels with 512 energy measurements of linear polarised light in perpendicular direction (S/P) (40 x 10 km<sup>2</sup>)

### **Collocation of AVHRR and GOME: slope and scatter**



- Significant calibration error of AVHRR (slope, almost no offset).
- Scatter due to different shapes of footprints (+ contribution of convolution effects).
- Spatial aliasing can lead to differences in averaged radiances / cloud fractions for the different PMD bands.
- Work in progress: Online calibration of AVHRR CH 1, 4 and 5 and GOME PMD using GOME main channels & IASI.



## **Strategy for AVHRR / GOME combination**

- Avoid optimization using one fit over different satellite instruments
  - Problems for heterogeneous scenes (different footprints)
  - Problems due to different calibration errors
  - Problems due to different degradation effects (observed for AVHRR/GOME on METOP-A)
- Apply quantitative retrieval on the GOME instrument
- Use AVHRR to
  - detect clear sky scenes based on VIS/IR thresholds
  - detect dust and ash events based on VIS/IR thresholds
  - retrieve cloud correction factors for GOME based on the variation of the AVHRR radiances within the GOME pixels



### **Three step retrieval:**

### Step1: Pre-classification by AVHRR (WIP: IASI)

- Cloud detections and cloud corrections, distinguish clouds from dust/ash
- Aerosol type pre-classification (no dust, dust, ash, no classification)

### Step2: Retrieval of a set of candidate AODs

- based on a set of aerosol models from LUT provided by O. Hasekamp (O3MSAF), model selection dependent on step 1.
- Over ocean: Chlorophyll fitted for clear sky pixels (otherwise a priori)

• Over land: surface albedo a priori (fit not meaningful for nadir-only retrieval)

### **Step3: Selection of the best fit**

• select the best result of step 2 using least-square minimization for all GOME PMD bands (+ stokes fractions dependent on condition)

## **Cloud correction by AVHRR**

## • AVHRR cloud tests:

- Albedo test
- T4 test
- Uniformity test
- T4T5 test
- Clear sky PMD reflectance for cloudy pixels:
  - Spectral overlap required

$$R_{corrected}(cloudfree) = R_{PMD} \frac{R_{AVHRR}(cloudfree)}{\overline{R_{AVHRR}}(all)}$$

Channel	Central wave- length[µm]	Wavelength range [µm]
1	0.630	0.580 - 0.680
2	0.865	0.725 - 1.000
3A	1.610	1.580 - 1.640
3B	3.740	3.550 - 3.930
4	10.800	10.300- 11.300
5	12.000	11.500- 12.500

### Geometric cloud fraction:

$$CF(GOME) = \frac{n_{cloudy}(AVHRR)}{n_{collocated}(AVHRR)}$$



## **Aerosol type pre-classification**

- Distinguish clouds from volcanic ash & thick dust
  - Brightness temperature difference T4-T5 (10  $\mu$ m 12  $\mu$ m)
  - Thresholds in VIS and NIR to detect false alarms
- Aerosol type pre-classification for negative cloud & ash tests
  - weak (large particle) and strong (no dust/fine mode) wavelength dependency VIS/NIR





## **Upcoming improvements of the PMAp processor**



- Extended/improved dust detection
  - > AVHRR VIS+NIR+TIR
- Cloud free pixels classified dependent on aerosol type
  - Heterogeneity of the radiance
  - Wavelength dependence of the heterogeneity

Implementation: 11/2014

- Improved calibration AVHRR CH4+5 (Joerg Ackermann)
- Further improvements in dust detection in PMAp R2 (Q1-2/2015):
  - UV absorbing index, IASI aerosol detection

### **Three step retrieval:**

### Step1: Pre-classification by AVHRR (WIP: IASI)

- Cloud detections and cloud corrections, distinguish clouds from dust/ash
- Aerosol type pre-classification (no dust, dust, ash, no classification)

### **Step2: Retrieval of a set of candidate AODs**

- based on a set of aerosol models from LUT provided by O. Hasekamp (O3MSAF), model selection dependent on step 1.
- Over ocean: Chlorophyll fitted for clear sky pixels (otherwise a priori)

• Over land: surface albedo a priori (fit not meaningful for nadir-only retrieval)

### **Step3: Selection of the best fit**

• select the best result of step 2 using least-square minimization for all GOME PMD bands (+ stokes fractions dependent on condition)

## Variability of the surface vs. aerosol signal



• Variablility of the surface reflectance with wind speed can be as large as the signal of an aerosol (AOD=0.3) :

$$\frac{\left|R_{clear}(12m/s) - R_{clear}(3m/s)\right|}{R_{aerosol} - R_{clear}}$$

- AOD retrieval will depend on a surface test comparing:
  - calculated clear-sky signal
  - calculated wind speed dependence
  - calculated aerosol signal



## **PMAp: AOD retrieval algorithm**



• Geometry dependent test with intercomparison of:

- calculated surface signal
- calculated wind speed dependence
- calculated aerosol signal

• Cloud filter:

- AVHRR/VIS
- AVHRR/IR



### Case 1 & 2: Geometry/Surface test passed

• A set of AODs (for all AVHRR preselected models) and chlorophyll corrections is estimated:

- Clear sky: UV [380 nm], VIS/green [520 nm], VIS/red [640 nm]
- Cloudy: VIS/red [640 nm], a priori chlorophyll, AVHRR cloud correction



# Case 3: Alternate retrieval combining reflectances & stokes fractions

• Estimate an AOD using one channel (reflectance or stokes fraction) using different aerosol models and a priori surface

• Check reliability: 
$$\chi^2 = \sum_{N} \frac{\left(R_{PMD} - R_{modelled}\right)^2}{R_{modelled}} + \sum_{M} \frac{\left(q_{PMD} - q_{modelled}\right)^2}{q_{modelled}} < chi2max$$



### **Three step retrieval:**

### Step1: Pre-classification by AVHRR (WIP: IASI)

- Cloud detections and cloud corrections, distinguish clouds from dust/ash
- Aerosol type pre-classification (no dust, dust, ash, no classification)

### Step2: Retrieval of a set of candidate AODs

- based on a set of aerosol models from LUT provided by O. Hasekamp (O3MSAF), model selection dependent on step 1.
- Over ocean: Chlorophyll fitted for clear sky pixels (otherwise a priori)

• Over land: surface albedo a priori (fit not meaningful for nadir-only retrieval)

### **Step3: Selection of the best fit**

• select the best result of step 2 using least-square minimization for all GOME PMD bands (+ stokes fractions dependent on condition)

### Selection of the aerosol model: Reflectances ...



SZA=40, cos(RAZI)=-1, VZA=45, AOD=4 -5 5 15 30 10 20 25 0.16 0.16 0.14 --0.14 **PMD** reflectance 0.12 -0.1 -0.1 0.08 -- 0.08 0.06 0.06 PMD6 PMD7 PMD8 0.04 0.04 PMD9 PMD10 PMD11 0.02 0.02 PMD12 PMD13 PMD14 × PMD15 5 10 15 20 25 30 aerosol model number



### aerosol model number

### SZA=70, nadir, AOD=0.3

### ... and stokes fractions

 Stokes fractions are used in addition to reflectances if observation geometry shows significant polarization (see examples below)





### PMAp results: AOD Metop A & Metop B (30/08/2013)





### **PMAp aerosol events: Dust storm event**



### http://www.eumetsat.int/website/home/Images/ImageLibra ry/DAT\_2187633.html

Mashable Must reads social media + Tech + Business + Entertainment + US & World + Watercooler + More +

## You Can Barely See Through the Smog in the UK and France Right Now



Wir kaufen Dein Auto - Wieviel ist mein Auto Wert? Schnell & seriös bewerten lassen! www.wikaufendeinauto devuto Schlaganfall-Studie - Sind Sie für eine Forschungsstudie geeignet? Hier erfahren Sie mehr. www.clnife delSchlaganfal-Studie How To Change Your Life? - "Jump" Into Your Subconscious Mind Tap Into Your Infinite Potential www.quantum/pmping.com



IMAGE: MATT DUNHAM/ASSOCIATED PRESS

#### ICAP Aerosol Meeting, October 2014, Boulder, Colorado



## PMAp aerosol events: Volcanic ash plume June 2014



### THE MALTIMES Australia & New Zealand

News | Opinion | Business | Money | Sport | Life | Arts | Puzzles | Papers

**WORLD CUP 2014** Follow the latest action from Brazil

Welcome to your preview of The Times

Volcanic ash cloud grounds flights in North Australia





### **PMAp error retrieval**

- PMAp does not use optimal estimation methods.
- A set of AOD is calculated using simplified inversion.
  - Examples:
    - Variation of the chlorophyll pigment concentration
    - Errors of AVHRR/GOME collocations
    - Dependence of the surface reflection on wind speed
    - Selection of a wrong aerosol type / microphysical properties
- A standard deviation of these AODs is calculated.
- PMAp calculates a randomized error optimized for assimilation



### **PMAp errors dependent on different parameters**



ICAP Aerosol Meeting, October 2014, Boulder, Colorado

### PMAp AOD Metop A (June/July 2013)





# PMAp AOD Metop A – Thick events (0.3% of all) (June/July 2013)





# PMAp AOD Metop A – including thick events (June/July 2013)





### PMAp AOD Metop B (June/July 2013)



ICAP Aerosol Meeting, October 2014, Boulder, Colorado

Slide 29



## **Operational monitoring of PMAp using AERONET**

- Stations on island and selected coastal stations
- Max temporal difference: 30min,
- Max spatial difference: 60km
- Continuous monitoring since January 2014



### **Comparisons to AERONET: Results Metop A**

### Blue: clear sky (PMAp), red = partly cloudy (PMAp)



AOD PMAp

### **Comparisons to AERONET: Results Metop B**

### Blue: clear sky (PMAp), red = partly cloudy (PMAp)



**AOD AERONET** 

**AOD PMAp** 

### **Comparisons to AERONET: Results Metop A & B**

- All AERONET station with altitude < 500m</li>
- Red = all PMAp values, blue = PMAp clear sky values
- R= 0.86/0.88, R=0.95/0.93 data selection sensitive



# ECMWF test assimilation: PMAp and MODIS (June/July 2013)



### **Comparison to ECHAM-HAM model: Metop A global**





### **Comparison to ECHAM-HAM model: Metop B global**



### **PMAp over land: Channel selection**

### • The contrast between aerosol and surface decrease with increasing wavelength & surface albedo



Slide 37

### **PMAp over land: Retrieval overview**

- Clouds/Dust/Volcanic Ash: GOME UV+IASI+AVHRR (w.i.p)
- Cloud correction factors retrieved around 630nm (GOME+AVHRR)
- Interpolation of the AOD in the blue range (400-500nm)
- Bands used to fit aerosol types dependent on surface albedo



### MERIS/GOME-1, C. Popp et. al. 2011

Slide 38



## PMAp AOD (preliminary): Metop A (30/08/2013)



## PMAp AOD (preliminary): Metop B (30/08/2013)





### **AOD: PMAp Metop A & B combined**





### Conclusions

- A new aerosol product over ocean from METOP instruments (PMAp) is delivered to our users
  - Pre-Operational since Q2/2014
  - Fully validated operational status since 14<sup>th</sup> October 2014
- PMAp is delivered as GOME-2 product using a multi-instrument approach
- Operational monitoring of PMAp using AERONET shows convincing results
- A new PMAp release providing AOD over land is in development
  - First results look promising
  - Start of pre-operations expected in Q1-2/2015



### The PMAp product operational implementation Product features

Product features:

• Near real time 3 minutes granules, maximum 3 hours after sensing time

Available via EUMETCast in EPS native and netcdf4.

• Full orbit offline data. Available from the EUMETSAT archive

http://archive.eumetsat.int

• AOD, COD, volcanic ash flag

Start of dissemination: 29<sup>th</sup> April 2014

Documentation (user guide): <u>www.eumetsat.int</u> > Data > Technical documentation > Metop > PMAp

