Update on WMO/GAW Aerosol Data for Evaluating Global Models

John A. Ogren Chairman, WMO/GAW Science Advisory Group for Aerosols

> NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory Global Monitoring Division Boulder, CO



GAW Aerosol Variables - Continuous

• Column and profile

- Multi-wavelength aerosol optical depth (AOD)
- Vertical distribution of aerosol backscattering and extinction

• Chemical (in two size fractions)

- Mass and major chemical components
- Optical coefficients at various wavelengths
 - Light scattering and hemispheric backscattering
 - Light absorption
- Physical
 - Number size distribution and total concentration
 - Cloud condensation nuclei number concentration at various super-saturations



GAW Aerosol Variables - Intermittent

- Detailed, size-fractionated, chemical composition
- Dependence on relative humidity

GAW Data Summary

- GAW stations are generally rural or remote (i.e., non-urban)
- GAW data (NRT and final) available from http://www.gaw-wdca.org



Aerosol Optical Depth Network



 Precision Filter Radiometer, manufactured and coordinated by World Optical Depth Research and Calibration Center (Davos)

GAW In-Situ Aerosol Data (2013)



- In-situ: Scattering, absorption, and/or particle size distribution
- Twinned: Operations supported by SAG members
- Recruited: Joined GAW through efforts of SAG members



GAW In-Situ Aerosol Data (2013)



Still working on getting all stations to submit their data



GAW NRT Aerosol Data (2014)



 Some data reporting stations are missing due to on-going WDCA database upgrade (e.g., most stations with scattering also measure absorption)

Scattering/absorption/CN statistics



smo spo sum whi alt mlo brw spl beo egb cpt lln cpr thd app am wsa sgp bnd gsn wlg kps amy



smo spo sum whi alt mlo brw spl beo egb cpt lln cpr thd app am wsa sgp bnd gsn wlg kps amy



Aerosol Trends from GAW

Trends in light absorption

- Measurements interpreted as "equivalent" black carbon
- NIES (Canada) model reproduces long-term, wintertime-average trend at Barrow

Trends in light scattering

- WMO/GAW and US/IMPROVE networks
- Stations with at least 10 years of data submitted to World Data Center for Aerosols
- 2-3 %/yr significant negative trend across US
- A rich data set for evaluating models



Lag Autocorrelation at the NOAA network sites



Autocorrelation for light scattering at Bondville (Anderson et al., 2003)

- Lag autocorrelation plots can
 - indicate timescales for comparing different data sources
 - suggest controlling processes





Lag Correlation Coefficient 'r'



Polar sites:

- very persistent, i.e., above Anderson line (especially scattering, but also absorption at ALT and BRW and CN at SPO)
- no diurnal oscillations in CN





Continental sites:

→All sites show diurnal behavior in CN, but this may have different causes

- APP new particle formation (NPF) don't see diurnal cycle in other params
- BND&SGP source differences CN and absorption have diurnal cycle, scattering does not
- KPS Boundary layer dynamics and/or diurnal sources



Mountain sites:

- LLN&MLO dominated by upslope/downslope flow all parameters show diurnal cycles
- SPL&WLG dominated by new particle formation only CN shows diurnal cycle



hours

CN

NOAL

absorption scattering Anderso

Coastal sites:

- AMY&CPT indications of NPF only CN shows diurnal pattern
- THD local daily sources (harbor?) and/or onshore/ offshore – all parameters have hint of diurnal cycle
- WSA remote, small island no significant sources, not enough land mass to instigate onshore/offshore flow.



Comparisons of AERONET vs. In-situ

- Aircraft campaigns measure vertical profiles of aerosol light scattering and absorption to derive column-average single-scattering albedo and aerosol absorption optical depth
- AERONET Level 1.5 data shown when AOD₄₄₀<0.4, but only for cases when the Level 2.0 AOD almucantar retrieval was available ("Level 1.5*")



AERONET SSA Direct Comparisons





Monthly comparisons at BND



- AERONET Level 2.0 AOD and AAOD are much higher than in-situ, model, and Level 1.5* results, as expected
- In-situ AOD and AAOD tends to be lower than AeroCom models
- AERONET Level 2.0 SSA agrees well with in-situ and model results, while Level 1.5* values are much lower (c.f., direct comparisons)